

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Survey of Ophthalmologists in 52 Cities in the Southern United States

Camila Albo^{1,*}, George Sanchez², Brandon Sellers³, Logan Wolfel⁴, Rushi N. Mankad⁵, Tae Jin Lee⁶, Ashok Sharma^{6,#} and Robert F. Melendez^{7,8,#}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, UT Health San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Dr, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA

²Department of Ophthalmology, John A. Moran Eye Center, University of Utah, 65 Mario Capecchi Dr, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA

³Department of Ophthalmology, Brooke Army Medical Center, 3551 Roger Brooke Dr., Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234, USA University of New Mexico School of Medicine, 2425 Camino de Salud, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87106, USA

⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Storm Eye Institute, Medical University of South Carolina, 167 Ashley Ave 4th Floor, 2nd Floor, Charleston, SC 29425, USA

⁵Department of Ophthalmology, University of Wisconsin, 2828 Marshall Ct #200, Madison, WI 53705, USA

⁶Center for Biotechnology & Genomic Medicine, Department of Population Health Sciences, Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University, 1462 Laney Walker Blvd., Augusta, GA, 30912, USA

⁷Department of Ophthalmology, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, 1021 Medical Arts Ave NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87102, USA

⁸Juliette Eye Institute, 6401 Holly Ave NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87113, USA

Abstract:

Background:

The United States (US) supply of surgical specialty practitioners in 2025 is projected to fall short by 24,340 physician full-time equivalents. The greatest deficit is projected for ophthalmology with the most affected region noted to be the southern US.

Aims:

To better understand the distribution of our ophthalmology workforce in the southern US and to identify cities with less access to subspecialtyspecific vision care.

Objective:

To determine the prevalence of ophthalmologists as well as rates of ophthalmology subspecialists and practice types in the three largest cities of each state within the southern US.

Methods:

The American Academy of Ophthalmology's "Find an Ophthalmologist" online listing was queried on a city-by-city basis from December 2020 to April 2021. The number and location of ophthalmologists were determined in the three largest cities, according to population, in the southern US. Data collected on each ophthalmologist included sex, primary subspecialty, practice type, year of first board certification, and academic affiliation.

Results:

There were 1,735 total ophthalmologists identified in the three largest cities of each state, 52 cities in total, within the southern US. The majority were male (n= 1,369, 78.90%) and board-certified prior to or during 1997 (n= 913, 52.62%). There were 12,308 persons per ophthalmologist (P/O) in the southern US. Cities with the highest P/O ratio were Rio Rancho, NM (101,475 P/O), Southaven, MS (28,691 P/O), and Houston, TX (27,868 P/O). The lowest P/O ratios included Morgantown, WV (1,587 P/O), Charleston, WV (2,263 P/O), and Wilmington, DE (3,025 P/O). Less populated cities (<300,000 persons) had a significantly higher proportion of comprehensive ophthalmologists in the southern US (p-value=0.007).

Conclusion:

A total of 550 ophthalmologists were evaluated in southwestern cities and 1,185 were evaluated in southeastern cities. Our results suggest that less populated cities are driven by comprehensive ophthalmology practices. This data suggests greater vulnerability in certain cities with less access to subspecialty-specific vision care.

Keywords: Ophthalmology demographics, Southern United States, Ophthalmologist prevalence, Gender disparities, Practice type, Geography.

Article HistoryReceived: May 30, 2023Revised: August 02, 2023Accepted: August 22, 2023
--

1. INTRODUCTION

With a rapidly expanding and aging population, demand for physician healthcare services is projected to outgrow the current supply in the United States (US) [1, 2]. The southern US has been projected, from 2017 to 2032, to have the highest growth in demand for physicians [2]. Specifically, the US supply of surgical specialty practitioners in 2025 is projected to fall short by 24,340 physician full-time equivalents (FTEs). The greatest deficit is projected for ophthalmology with the most affected region noted to be the southern US [3]. Alongside this estimated shortage, there has been a decline over the last two decades in the national density of ophthalmologists and an increase in the age of active providers [4, 5]. Ophthalmology additionally experienced one of the largest decreases in the number of first-year ACGME residents and fellows between 2014 and 2019 [5]. Given the projected shortage of ophthalmologists in the Southern United States, it becomes imperative to gain insights into the current distribution and demographics of ophthalmologists in this region to identify potential gaps in ophthalmic care delivery and to inform targeted workforce planning strategies.

The predicted ophthalmologist shortage is further challenged by an expanding elderly population. Over 20% of the entire US population is projected to be over age 65 by 2029. The 65-and-older age group is expected to outgrow the under-18-year-old population by 2056 [6]. An aging US population is also faced with an aging ophthalmology workforce. In 2019, 51.1% of active ophthalmologists were 55 or older, compared to 44.9% in all US specialties combined. The prevalence of age-related eye diseases has expanded over time and is expected to continue increasing [7]. In regard to specific conditions, since the early 2000s, the number of persons with cataracts in the US increased by 46.8% [8]. From 2011 to 2020, there was an almost 50% increase in the prevalence of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [9]. In 2050, the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy is expected to triple from its prevalence in 2005 [10]. Lastly, the prevalence of primary open-angle glaucoma in 2050 is expected to increase by 170% from its prevalence in 2011 [10, 11].

With the increasing prevalence of age-related eye diseases and the anticipated surge in the elderly population, there is an urgent need to assess the geographic distribution and subspecialty distribution of ophthalmologists to ensure adequate access to specialized eye care services, especially for vulnerable populations. Moreover, as the aging ophthalmology workforce approaches retirement, our study aims to shed light on the potential challenges in meeting the growing demand for ophthalmic services in the coming years. Understanding the practice patterns of ophthalmologists is also beneficial in identifying areas of potential workforce shortages, optimizing healthcare resource allocation, and ensuring comprehensive and timely eye care services for diverse patient populations. Therefore, we sought to evaluate the prevalence and types of ophthalmologists in the southern US, with a focus on the three Albo et al.

largest cities of each state based on population. We hypothesized that there would be a greater proportion of older ophthalmologists, based on board certification year, as well as a predominance of non-comprehensive ophthalmologists.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Data Collection

This is a cross-sectional study based on data gathered from the American Academy of Ophthalmology's "Find an Ophthalmologist" online listing, from December 2020 to April 2021. Country, state, and city were selected as part of each query. Data were obtained on the number and location of ophthalmologists in the three most populated cities in each southern state. 52 cities were identified. States were grouped into the Southwest (SW) or Southeast (SE) as defined by the National Geographic Society's United States Regions map; this included 17 states and the District of Columbia. Data collected on each ophthalmologist included sex, primary subspecialty, practice type, year of first board certification, and academic affiliation. In order to best resemble age 55 years, two age groups were assigned based on board certification year: prior to or during 1997 vs. during or after 1998. Primary practice types with less than five total ophthalmologists (i.e. administration, professional association, and missionary/humanitarian) were excluded from our analysis of practice types. The data collection was conducted by systematically gathering information from the American Academy of Ophthalmology's "Find an Ophthalmologist" online listing. To ensure the accuracy of the data, the authors cross-checked the collected information and resolved any discrepancies through mutual agreement with a final verification of the data to further enhance its accuracy and reliability.

2.2. Statistical Analysis

A summary of ophthalmologist demographics, including their sex, year of board certification, and primary specialty, was obtained and visualized using pie charts. For the year of board certification, the population was separated into two groups: board certified prior to or during 1997 or during or after 1998. City-wise demographic analyses were also performed. The city population, number of ophthalmologists, and availability of ophthalmologists (ophthalmologists per 100,000 population) were plotted. Cities were ordered by their population size, and a rainbow color scheme was assigned for the number of ophthalmologists (red for larger ophthalmologist population and purple for smaller ophthalmologist population).

Further, proportions of ophthalmologists based on their sex, board certification year, primary specialties, and practice types were calculated for each city and plotted. Again, the population was distributed into two groups for the year of board certification: the board certified prior to or during 1997 or during or after 1998. Next, the proportion of comprehensive and solo practice ophthalmologists was tested against population size using a t-test (tested between cities with larger populations and smaller populations). All analyses were performed using R version 4.0.3 [12].

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Ophthalmology, PGY-1 UT Health San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Dr, San Antonio, TX 78229, USA; Tel: 281-433-5156; E-mail: camilaalbo@icloud.com #These authors contributed equally to this work

Survey of Ophthalmologists

3. RESULTS

3.1. Demographics and Distribution of Ophthalmologists in the Southern US

There were 52 total cities identified among the 17 states and the District of Columbia within the southern US, as shown in Table **1** and Table **S1**. The four southwestern states included Arizona (AZ), New Mexico (NM), Oklahoma (OK), and Texas (TX). The 13 southeastern states and/or territories included Alabama (AL), Arkansas (AR), Delaware (DE), District of Columbia (DC), Florida (FL), Georgia (GA), Kentucky (KY), Louisiana (LA), Mississippi (MS), North Carolina (NC), South Carolina (SC), Tennessee (TN), Virginia (VA), and West Virginia (WV). The total population of the three largest cities

per state in the southern US was 21,354,525 persons. There were 1,735 total ophthalmologists in this region, including 550 in southwestern cities and 1,185 in southeastern cities. The majority were male (n= 1,369, 78.90%) and board-certified prior to or during 1997 (n= 913, 52.62%) (Fig. 1A and B). The following cities had zero female ophthalmologists: Las Cruces, Rio Rancho, Montgomery, Dover, Gulfport, and Southaven (Fig. 2A). In contrast, all 52 cities listed more than one male ophthalmologist, except for Rio Rancho (n=1). Shreveport was the only city in our study with a female predominant ophthalmology workforce (20/23 ophthalmologists). Rio Rancho, NM had zero recently board-certified ophthalmologists (Fig. 2B). Another four cities only had one: Bowling Green, KY, Gulfport, MS, Southaven, MS, and North Charleston, SC (Fig. 2B).



Fig. (1). The distribution of ophthalmologists by (A) sex, (B) year of first board certification, and (C) primary specialty ('others' includes: uveitis, ocular oncology, ophthalmic genetics, and vision rehab).

Table 1. Number of ophthalmologists in 52 cities among the 1	17 states and the district of Columbia within the Southern US.
--	--

State	City	Population	No. of Ophthalmologists	No. of Ophthalmologists Per 100,000 Persons
Arizona	Phoenix	1,703,080	81	4.76
	Tucson	553,871	56	10.11
	Mesa	527,666	24	4.55
New Mexico	Albuquerque	561,188	41	7.31
	Las Cruces	104,148	6	5.76
	Rio Rancho	101,475	1	0.99
Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	655,407	54	8.24
	Tulsa	396,543	29	7.31
	Norman	126,377	6	4.75
Texas	Houston	2,340,890	84	3.59
	San Antonio	1,578,030	78	4.94
	Dallas	1,382,270	90	6.51
Alabama	Birmingham	207,235	46	22.20
	Huntsville	205,472	22	10.71
	Montgomery	197,777	9	4.55
Arkansas	Little Rock	196,968	38	19.29
	Fayetteville	89,540	11	12.29
	Fort Smith	88,233	6	6.80

4 The Open Ophthalmology Journal, 2023, Volume 17

(Table	I)	contd
--------	----	-------

State	City	Population	No. of Ophthalmologists	No. of Ophthalmologists Per 100,000 Persons
Delaware	Wilmington	69,584	23	33.05
	Dover	38,482	4	10.39
	Newark	33,419	6	17.95
DOC	District of Columbia	711,571	71	9.98
Florida	Jacksonville	929,647	51	5.49
	Miami	478,251	90	18.82
	Tampa	404,636	43	10.63
Georgia	Atlanta	524,067	82	15.65
	Augusta	199,614	20	10.02
	Columbus	198,969	16	8.04
Kentucky	Louisville	615,924	53	8.60
	Lexington	324,604	39	12.01
	Bowling Green	74,363	3	4.03
Louisiana	New Orleans	388,424	41	10.56
	Baton Rouge	216,328	34	15.72
	Shreveport	182,616	23	12.59
Mississippi	Jackson	154,340	19	12.31
	Gulfport	71,573	5	6.99
	Southaven	57,382	2	3.49
North Carolina	Charlotte	912,096	45	4.93
	Raleigh	483,579	39	8.06
	Greensboro	301,094	26	8.64
South Carolina	Charleston	140,476	39	27.76
	Columbia	129,482	32	24.71
	North Charleston	119,992	8	6.67
Tennessee	Nashville	678,448	68	10.02
	Memphis	651,011	38	5.84
	Knoxville	188,085	31	16.48
Virginia	Virginia Beach	450,224	20	4.44
5	Chesapeake	249,787	12	4.80
	Norfolk	241,056	22	9.13
West Virginia	Charleston	45,264	20	44.19
-	Huntington	43,814	9	20.54
	Morgantown	30,153	19	63.01

As far as the distribution of ophthalmologists in these 52 cities is concerned, there were 8.12 ophthalmologists per 100,000 persons (O/P), i.e., 12,308 persons per one ophthalmologist (P/O). However, the density of ophthalmologists per 100,000 persons widely ranged from 0.99 to 63.01 in Rio Rancho, NM, and Morgantown, WV, respectively (Fig. 3C). The cities with the highest person to ophthalmologist ratios were Rio Rancho, NM (101,475 P/O), Southaven, MS (28,691 P/O), and Houston, TX (27,868 P/O). The cities with the lowest person to ophthalmologist ratios were Morgantown, WV (1,587 P/O), Charleston, WV (2,263 P/O), and Wilmington, DE (3,025 P/O) (Fig. 3). Overall, less populated cities (<300,000 persons) were noted to have a significantly higher proportion of comprehensive ophthalmologists in the southern US (p-value=0.007) (Figs. 4 and 6A). Less populated cities also had a higher proportion of solo practices, although this trend did not reach significance (p-value=0.057) (Figs. 5 and 6B).

3.2. Primary Subspecialty and Practice Types of Ophthalmologists in the Southern US

Comprehensive (n= 771, 44.44%) and retina (n= 376, 21.67%) were the most reported primary subspecialties; collectively, ocular oncology (n=3), ophthalmic genetics (n=2), uveitis (n=2), and vision rehab (n=1) were the least reported primary subspecialties (Fig. **1C**). Of the remaining subspecialties, oculoplastics (n= 101, 5.82%) and neuro-ophthalmology (n=23, 1.33%) were the least reported (Fig. **1**).

However, there were a few outlying cities when compared to the average specialty breakdown among all 52 cities. For instance, there was a greater concentration (n= 8) of oculoplastics specialists in Chesapeake, VA, and a greater concentration of pediatric specialists (n= 7) in Atlanta, GA, District of Columbia, and Oklahoma City, OK (Fig. 4).

Survey of Ophthalmologists



Fig. (2). (A) Proportion of female ophthalmologists among 52 cities in the southern United States. (B) The proportion of ophthalmologists board certified prior to or during 1997 among 52 cities in the southern United States.



Fig. 5 contd.....



Fig. (3). (A) The population of 52 cities within the southern United States (US). (B) The total number of ophthalmologists among these 52 southern US cities. (C) The number of ophthalmologists per 100,000 persons in each of these 52 southern US cities.

The two most common practice types were ophthalmology group practice (n= 926, 53.37%) and solo practice (n= 312, 17.98%). Interestingly, the largest numbers of solo practices were found in the Texas cities (Houston: n= 23, San Antonio: n= 22, Dallas, n= 18).

Lastly, there was a lower prevalence of hospital/healthcare

systems (n= 149, 8.59%) and academically affiliated ophthalmologists (n= 259, 14.93%) in this region (Fig. 5). Although academic affiliation was low in certain cities, many of those states have some of their larger academic institutions geographically located outside of their three most populated cities.



Fig. 6 contd.....

Albo et al.



Fig. 6 contd.....





Albo et al.



Fig. 7 contd.....



Fig. 7 contd.....



Academically Affiliated Ophthalmologists

Fig. (5). Distribution of practice type among ophthalmologists in 52 cities within the southern United States.



Fig. 8 contd.....



Fig. (6). (A) Less populated cities (< 300,000 persons) have a significantly higher proportion of comprehensive ophthalmologists (p-value=0.007). (B) Less populated cities (< 300,000 persons) had more solo practice ophthalmologists, although the results were not significant (p-value=0.057).

4. DISCUSSION

The southern US is faced with not only the challenge of a shrinking ophthalmology workforce but also with a greater presence of eye conditions associated with chronic disease including diabetes, hypertension, and coronary artery disease [13 - 15]. Recently, there has been an increasing awareness of the prevalence of ocular manifestations associated with age and such systemic diseases [7 - 11]. The southern US is projected to have the worst deficit of ophthalmologists in 2025 as compared to all other surgical specialties [3]. Our study found a male-predominant and older ophthalmologist workforce driven by comprehensive eye care primarily and ophthalmology group practices. Prior studies have determined that the mean national density of ophthalmologists in the US in 2017 was 5.68 ophthalmologists per 100,000 persons [4]. In contrast, the ratio among 52 of the most populated southern cities in our study was 8.12.

In 2019, ophthalmology had less female representation (26.7%) when compared to the entire active physician workforce (36.3%) in the US [16]. Our study found an even smaller percentage of females in the southern US ophthalmologist workforce (n=366, 21.10%). In addition to a predominantly male specialty, ophthalmology is facing an aging population. Our study further highlights this aging workforce with 52.62% of our study's ophthalmologists with board certification prior to or during 1997 (n=913). We selected this board certification year to best resemble the age of 55 years. In consideration of this aging workforce and the reduced number of ophthalmologists entering training programs, ophthalmologists may struggle to keep up with the demands of our aging US population [1, 2].

Previous studies have shown that populations tend to have a decline in eye exam screening, disease awareness, and ophthalmic outcomes when faced with fewer ophthalmologists in their region [17 - 23]. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) 2020 Physician Specialty Data Report, there were 19,312 total active ophthalmologists in the US with 17,162 people per active ophthalmologist (P/O).¹⁶ Our study found a lower ratio of persons per ophthalmologist in these 52 cities (12,172 P/O). This ratio is subdivided into 18,238 P/O within the 12 southwestern cities and 9,556 P/O within the 40 southeastern cities. With this being said, further population-based studies are needed to determine the optimal P/O ratio for any given region and to evaluate for any ophthalmologist shortages in the United States. Ultimately, an awareness of the distribution and demographics of practicing ophthalmologists is crucial to expand and improve ophthalmic care for our communities.

According to the 2020 AAMC Physician Specialty Data Report, ophthalmology experienced one of the largest decreases (-10.9%) in the number of first-year ACGME residents and fellows between 2014 and 2019 [16]. Among the 47 largest specialties in the US in 2019, ophthalmology ranked third to last based on the ratio of active physicians to the number of first-year ACGME residents and fellows. Compared to the average ratio, of 19.05, Ophthalmology's ratio was 45.3. Other specialties including internal medicine (11.58), otolaryngology (30.27), urology (16.64) general surgery (10,60), and plastic surgery (30.62) are listed for comparison [16]. This data additionally supports the idea that ophthalmology residency programs are not growing at a rate to best provide care for our aging US population. Therefore, a potential avenue for increasing the density of ophthalmologists in the southern US, and within the entire country at large, could be in the form of expanding the total number of training positions.

One study evaluating academic ophthalmology group practices in the US showed the greatest allocation of full-time employee equivalents among comprehensive care and then retina [24]. The authors combined complete eye exams, anterior segment/cataract surgery, and various laser procedures into one overarching comprehensive category. Similarly, in our study, comprehensive and retina were the first and second most reported primary subspecialties. Our study similarly combined the comprehensive, cataract/anterior segment and refractive subspecialties, found in the American Academy of Ophthalmology's Find an Ophthalmologist online directory, into one comprehensive primary subspecialty. Our study found that 44.44% of ophthalmologists provide comprehensive ophthalmic care instead of subspecialty ophthalmic care. Furthermore, with fewer ophthalmologists pursuing niche specialties, there is concern as to whether there will be enough graduates to train and treat future generations within these subspecialties. Neuro-ophthalmology and uveitis have been identified as the lowest tier of allocation in academic ophthalmology group practices [24].

Another study projects that most of the per capita burden of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) in the US in 2050 will be in the southern states [10]. Our study similarly found a lower density of glaucoma specialists in NM. NM is one of the three states that Vajaranant *et al.* projected will double in POAG rates. As stated above, pediatric ophthalmology consists of a very small percentage of ophthalmologists. Specifically, certain regions of the United States are facing limited pediatric glaucoma care [25].

The strengths of this study include its identification of specialty choice popularity, demographic breakdown, and population density of persons per ophthalmologist in select cities. This study also has several limitations. We acknowledge the limitation of our study's focus on big city centers, which may restrict the generalizability of our findings to the entire southern US region. By examining only the three largest cities of each state, our results may not fully represent the workforce distribution and access to eye care services in smaller cities and rural areas. Consequently, the ophthalmologist density and prevalence of practice types and subspecialties in these regions may differ significantly from what was observed in our study. Second, only one subspecialty was listed as the primary subspecialty per ophthalmologist on the main "Find an Ophthalmologist" search results, but additional subspecialties appear as secondary subspecialties when viewing the details of a particular ophthalmologist. This was not recorded in our study. As a result, it is possible that a less commonly reported subspecialty does indeed have representation in a given city by an ophthalmologist who listed something else as their primary subspecialty. Despite efforts to ensure data validity, it is important to acknowledge that the data obtained from the online database may be subject to change and could potentially contain inaccuracies or omissions due to possible real-time updates or variations in reporting practices. Lastly, the database evaluated in our study primarily comprises ophthalmologists

who are members of the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Consequently, it is possible that an ophthalmologist who is not a member of the Academy would not be listed in the database.

CONCLUSION

Ultimately, a total of 550 ophthalmologists were identified in southwestern cities and 1,185 were identified in southeastern cities. Our study found a male-predominant and older ophthalmologist workforce primarily driven by comprehensive eye care and ophthalmology group practices. Our results suggest that less populated cities are driven by comprehensive ophthalmology practices. This data suggests greater vulnerability in certain cities with less access to subspecialtyspecific vision care. Future studies are warranted to further evaluate the ophthalmologist workforce distribution throughout a broader range of cities, including smaller and rural areas. While the study provides valuable insights into the prevalence and types of ophthalmologists in the three largest cities of each state, further research including data from smaller cities and rural areas is warranted to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the workforce challenges and access to subspecialty-specific vision care across the entire southern United States.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

C.A., G.S., B.S., L.W., R.N.M., and R.F.M. collected the study data. C.A., G.S., B.S., L.W., R.N.M., T.J.L., A.S., and R.F.M. analyzed and interpreted the study data and contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ETHICS		APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO					
POAG	=	Primary open-angle glaucoma					
AAMC	=	Association of American Medical Colleges					
O/P	=	Ophthalmologists per 100,000 persons					
SE	=	Southeast					
SW	=	Southwest					
AMD	=	Age-related macular degeneration					
FTEs	=	Full time equivalents					
P/O	=	Persons per one ophthalmologist					
US	=	United States					

PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No human or animal experiments were performed for this study.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The American Academy of Ophthalmology's "Find an

Survey of Ophthalmologists

Ophthalmologist" online listing is publicly available (https://secure.aao.org/aao/find-ophthalmologist). The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is included as an additional file.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

STROBE guidelines were followed.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1. Breakdown of 1,735 ophthalmologists identified in 52 cities among the 17 states and the District of Columbia within the southern United States.

REFERENCES

- Zhang X, Lin D, Pforsich H, Lin VW. Physician workforce in the United States of America: Forecasting nationwide shortages. Hum Resour Health 2020; 18(1): 8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12960-020-0448-3] [PMID: 32029001]
- [2] Dall T. The Complexities of Physician Supply and Demad: Projections from 2019 to 2034. 2019. Available from: https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/workforce/data/complexities-physi cian-supply-and-demad-projections-2019-2034
- [3] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National Center for Health Workforce Analysis Available from: https://bhw.hrsa.gov/data-research/projecting-health-workforce-supply -demand
- Feng PW, Ahluwalia A, Feng H, Adelman RA. National trends in the United States eye care workforce from 1995 to 2017. Am J Ophthalmol 2020; 218: 128-35.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2020.05.018] [PMID: 32445703]
- [5] Association of American Medical Colleges. Physician Specialty Data Report.

https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/data/2020-physician-specialty-data -report-executive-summary2020.

- [6] Colby S, Ortman JM. The baby boom cohort in the United States: 2012 to 2060. US: US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration 2014.
- Klein B E, Klein R. Projected prevalences of age-related eye diseases. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013; 54: ORSF14-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.13-12782]
- [8] Congdon N, Vingerling J R, Klein B, et al. Prevalence of cataract and pseudophakia/aphakia among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004; 122(4): 487-94.
- [9] Friedman DS, O'Colmain BJ, Muñoz B, *et al.* Prevalence of agerelated macular degeneration in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol 2004; 122(4): 564-72.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.1941.00870100042005] [PMID: 15078675]

- [10] Vajaranant TS, Wu S, Torres M, Varma R. The changing face of primary open-angle glaucoma in the United States: Demographic and geographic changes from 2011 to 2050. Am J Ophthalmol 2012; 154(2): 303-314.e3.
- [11] Saaddine JB, Honeycutt AA, Narayan KM, Zhang X, Klein R, Boyle JP. Projection of diabetic retinopathy and other major eye diseases among people with diabetes mellitus: United States, 2005-2050. Arch Ophthalmol 2008; 126(12): 1740-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht.126.12.1740] [PMID: 19064858]
- [11] Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2020.
 Barker LE, Kirtland KA, Gregg EW, Geiss LS, Thompson TJ. Geographic distribution of diagnosed diabetes in the U.S.: A diabetes belt. Am J Prev Med 2011; 40(4): 434-9.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.12.019] [PMID: 21406277]
- Liao Y, Greenlund KJ, Croft JB, Keenan NL, Giles WH. Factors explaining excess stroke prevalence in the US Stroke Belt. Stroke 2009; 40(10): 3336-41.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.561688] [PMID:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/S1ROKEAHA.109.501088] [PMID. 19679841]

- Olives C, Myerson R, Mokdad AH, Murray CJL, Lim SS. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in United States counties, 2001-2009. PLoS One 2013; 8(4): e60308.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060308] [PMID: 23577099]
- [16] Physician Specialty Data Report https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/data/2020-physician-specialty-data -report-executive-summary2020.
- [17] Chou CF, Beckles GL, Cheng YJ, Saaddine JB. Association between county-level characteristics and eye care use by US Adults in 22 states after accounting for individual-level characteristics using a conceptual framework. JAMA Ophthalmol 2016; 134(10): 1158-67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.3007] [PMID: 27561117]
- [18] Chou CF, Zhang X, Crews JE, Barker LE, Lee PP, Saaddine JB. Impact of geographic density of eye care professionals on eye care among adults with diabetes. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2012; 19(6): 340-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09286586.2012.722244] [PMID: 23088291]

- [19] Gibson DM. Eye care availability and access among individuals with diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, or age-related macular degeneration. JAMA Ophthalmol 2014; 132(4): 471-7.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2013.7682] [PMID: 24458097]
- [20] Gibson DM. The local availability of eye care providers and the vision health of adults in the United States. Ophthalmic Epidemiol 2016; 23(4): 223-31.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09286586.2016.1193208]
 [PMID: 27341672]
- [21] Kyndt M. Importance of affordable eye care. Community Eye Health 2001; 14(37): 1-3.[PMID: 17491899]
- [22] Sloan FA, Brown DS, Carlisle ES, Picone GA, Lee PP. Monitoring visual status: Why patients do or do not comply with practice guidelines. Health Serv Res 2004; 39(5): 1429-48.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2004.00297.x] [PMID: 15333116]
- [23] Wang F, Javitt JC. Eye care for elderly Americans with diabetes mellitus. Failure to meet current guidelines. Ophthalmology 1996; 103(11): 1744-50.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(96)30432-6] [PMID: 8942865]
 [24] Palmisano MM. The distribution of subspecialties in ophthalmology group practices in an era of change. Adv Ophthalmol 2018; 8(5): 7.
- [http://dx.doi.org/10.15406/aovs.2018.08.00317]
 [25] Vu DM, Stoler J, Rothman AL, Chang TC. A service coverage analysis of primary congenital glaucoma care across the United States. Am J Ophthalmol 2021; 224: 112-9.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2020.12.009] [PMID: 33340505]

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Science Publisher.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.